EB 29 200 m

0

2 2 2001 pg		PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
a Core the Research Reduction Act of 1995	U.S. I	Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF, COMMERCE lection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
RADIA MERCHANIA PADELMOIN REDUCTION ACT OF 1995	Application Number	10/628,991
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	7-29-2003
FORM	First Named Inventor	Mau-Song Chou
	Art Unit	1743
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	Examiner Name filing)	Yelena G. Gakh
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	NGC-00089 (000342-804)
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	however, should additional fee of this application or overpayn authorization to charge or cree	Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Request for Supervisor Review and Return Receipt Postcard  ees are enclosed for the attached filing, es be due in order to prevent the abandonment ment of fees occur, please consider this as dit Deposit Account No. 501612 (Warn, .C.) for any such fees due. A duplicate copy of
SIGNA	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTO	
Firm Name Warn, Hoffmann, Mil		
Signature Omanule		
Printed name John A. Miller		
Date 2-19-07		Reg. No. 34,985
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:		
John a mille		
Typed or printed name J	hn/A. Miller - Reg. No. 34,9	85 Date 2-19-07

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/628,991

Filing Date:

July 29, 2003

Applicant:

Mau-Song Chou

Group Art Unit:

1743

Examiner:

Yelena G. Gakh

Title:

REMOTE DETECTION AND ANALYSIS OF CHEMICAL

AND BIOLOGICAL AEROSOLS

Attorney Docket:

NGC-00089 (000342-804)

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **REQUEST FOR SUPERVISOR REVIEW**

Sir:

Applicant filed their Appellant's Brief on November 21, 2006 appealing the Examiner's Second Non-Final Rejection mailed November 3, 2006. The Examiner mailed a Notification of Non-Complaint Brief on January 25, 2007 checking the box for Section 4 stating that the Brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the Appeal. Further, the Examiner provided the following comments under Section 10 on a Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): The brief is deficient because independent claims 1 and 21 do not recite any elements besides a radiation source for heating a cloud and a spectrum analyzer for analyzing emission spectrum from the cloud. Neither emissions collection telescope 16, nor lens 22, is

recited in claims 1 and 21. The telescope is recited in dependent claim 7, and the lens is not recited in any claims. The claims do not recite "passive emissions", just "emissions from the cloud", and it is not apparent, as to what the "passive emissions" are. Description of the apparatus functioning is not relevant to the subject of the claimed invention. Independent claims 8 and 24 do not recite fans. The fan is recited in dependent claim 10. Claims 8 and 24 do not recite a laser. The laser is one of the options of the radiation source recited in dependent claims 5, 14, 23 and 26. The operation of the apparatus provided in the Summary is not a claimed subject matter. The Summary does not contain references for all structural elements recited in independent and dependent claims to the corresponding pages and line numbers of the specification.

Applicant respectfully submits that the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief is improper, and that Applicant has fully complied with Section 4. Particularly, Applicant has identified in the Summary of Claimed Subject Matter section of the Brief each element in the independent claims 1, 8, 21 and 24 by reference number and section in the specification. Applicant submits that additional description in the Summary section of the Brief directed to elements not found in the independent claims is not precluded by 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1) and does not make the Summary section improper.

In the Continuation section of the Notification, the Examiner refers to certain items that allegedly make the Brief deficient, such as the specificity of the radiation source, the spectrum analyzer, passive emissions, fans, etc. Applicant submits that some or all of these items go to the merits of the §112, second paragraph, rejections as discussed in the Brief. Applicant submits that the Examiner's holding that the Brief is deficient because of the scope and language of what is recited in the claims is improper.

Applicant respectfully submits the Examiner has made and maintained some questionable rejections in this application. Applicant has filed a detailed Appeal Brief

challenging these rejections. Applicant respectfully submits that they are entitled to an Examiner's Answer in response to their Brief. It is respectfully requested that the Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief be withdrawn, and an Examiner's Answer be issued.

Respectfully submitted,

WARN, HOFFMANN, MILLER & OZGA, P.C.

Attorneys for Appellant(s)

Date:

2/19/07

P.O. Box 70098

Rochester Hills, MI 48307 Telephone: (248) 364-4300 John/A. Miller

Registration No. 34,985